arXiv:1512.02106v2 [math.RA] 8 Dec 2015

Algebras with ternary law of composition combining Z_2 and Z_3 gradings

V. Abramov*, R. Kerner† and O. Liivapuu*

Summary

In the present article we investigate the possibility of combining the usual Grassmann algebras with their ternary Z_3-graded counterpart, thus creating a more general algebra with coexisting quadratic and cubic constitutive relations.

We recall the classificaton of ternary and cubic algebras according to the symmetry properties of ternary poducts under the action of the S_3 permutation group. Instead of only two kinds of binary algebras, symmetric or antisymmetric, here we get *four* different generalizations of each of these two cases.

Then we study a particular case of algebras generated by two types of variables, ξ^α and θ^A, satisfying quadratic and cubic relations respectively, $\xi^\alpha\xi^\beta = -\xi^\beta\xi^\alpha$ and $\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C = j\theta^B\theta^C\theta^A$, $j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$.

The invariance group of the generalized algebra is introduced and investigated.

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Tartu, Liivi 2, Tartu 50409, Estonia, E-mail: viktor.abramov@ut.ee, olga.liivapuu@gmail.com

†LPTMC, Tour 23-13, 5-e étage, Boîte 121, 4, Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France, E-mail: richard.kerner@upmc.fr

1 Classification of ternary and cubic algebras

In [2], [3], [4], [9] certain types of Z_3-graded ternary and cubic algebras have been introduced and investigated. In [1] a general classification of n-ary algebras was given.

The usual definition of an algebra involves a linear space $\mathcal{A}$ (over real or complex numbers) endowed with a *binary* constitutive relations

$$\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}. \tag{1}$$

In a finite dimensional case, dim $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{N}$, in a chosen basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, ..., \mathbf{e}_N$, the constitutive relations (1) can be encoded in *structure constants* f_{ij}^k as follows:

$$\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j = f_{ij}^k \, \mathbf{e}_k. \tag{2}$$

With the help of these structure constants all essential properties of a given algebra can be expressed, e.g. they will define a *Lie algebra* if they are antisymmetric and satisfy the Jacobi identity:

$$f_{ij}^k = -f_{ji}^k, \quad f_{im}^k f_{jl}^m + f_{jm}^k f_{li}^m + f_{lm}^k f_{ij}^m = 0, \tag{3}$$

whereas an abelian algebra will have its structure constants symmetric, $f_{ij}^k = f_{ji}^k$.

Usually, when we speak of algebras, we mean *binary algebras*, understanding that they are defined via *quadratic* constitutive relations (2). On such algebras the notion of $Z_2 - grading$ can be naturally introduced. An algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called a $Z_2 - graded$ algebra if it is a direct sum of two parts, with symmetric (abelian) and anti-symmetric product respectively,

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1, \tag{4}$$

with *grade* of an element being 0 if it belongs to $\mathcal{A}_0$, and 1 if it belongs to $\mathcal{A}_1$. Under the multiplication in a Z_2-graded algebra the grades add up reproducing the composition law of the Z_2 permutation group: if the grade of an element A is a, and that of the element B is b, then the grade of their product will be $a + b$ *modulo 2*:

$$\mathrm{grade}(AB) = \mathrm{grade}(A) + \mathrm{grade}(B). \tag{5}$$

A $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra is called a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded commutative if for any two homogeneous elements A, B we have

$$AB = (-1)^{ab} BA. \tag{6}$$

It is worthwhile to notice at this point that the above relationship can be written in an alternative form, with all the expressions on the left side as follows:

$$AB - (-1)^{a\,b} BA = 0, \;\; \text{or} \;\; AB + (-1)^{(a\,b+1)} BA = 0 \tag{7}$$

The equivalence between these two alternative definitions of commutation (anticommutation) relations inside a Z_2-graded algebra is no more possible if by analogy we want to impose *cubic* relations on algebras with Z_3-symmetry properties, in which the non-trivial

cubic root of unity, $j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ plays the role similar to that of -1 in the binary relations displaying a Z_2-symmetry.

The Z_3 cyclic group is an abelian subgroup of the S_3 symmetry group of permutations of three objects. The S_3 group contains *six* elements, including the group unit e (the identity permutation, leaving all objects in place: $(abc) \to (abc)$), the two cyclic permutations

$$(abc) \to (bca) \quad \text{and} \quad (abc) \to (cab),$$

and three odd permutations,

$$(abc) \to (cba), \quad (abc) \to (bac) \quad \text{and} \quad (abc) \to (acb).$$

There was a unique definition of *commutative* binary algebras given in two equivalent forms,

$$xy + (-1)yx = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad xy = yx. \tag{8}$$

In the case of cubic algebras [1] we have the following four generalizations of the notion of *commutative* algebras:

a) Generalizing the first form of the commutativity relation (8), which amounts to replacing the -1 generator of Z_2 by j-generator of Z_3 and binary products by products of three elements, we get

$$S: \quad x^\mu x^\nu x^\lambda + j\, x^\nu x^\lambda x^\mu + j^2\, x^\lambda x^\mu x^\nu = 0, \tag{9}$$

where $j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ is a primitive third root of unity.

b) Another primitive third root, $j^2 = e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$ can be used in place of the former one; this will define thr conjugate algebra $\bar{S}$, satisfying the following cubic constitutive relations:

$$\bar{S}: \quad x^\mu x^\nu x^\lambda + j^2\, x^\nu x^\lambda x^\mu + j\, x^\lambda x^\mu x^\nu = 0. \tag{10}$$

Clearly enough, both algebras are infinitely-dimensional and have the same structure. Each of them is a possible generalization of infinitely-dimensional algebra of usual commuting variables with a finite number of generators. In the usual Z_2-graded case such algebras are just polynomials in variables $x^1,\ x^2, ...x^N$; the algebras S and $\bar{S}$ defined above are also spanned by polynomials, but with different symmetry properties, and as a consequence, with different dimensions corresponding to a given power.

c) Then we can impose the following "weak" commmutation, valid only for cyclic permutations of factors:

$$S_1: \quad x^\mu x^\nu x^\lambda = x^\nu x^\lambda x^\mu \neq x^\nu x^\mu x^\lambda, \tag{11}$$

d) Finally, we can impose the following "strong" commutation, valid for arbitrary (even or odd) permutations of three factors:

$$S_0: \quad x^\mu x^\nu x^\lambda = x^\nu x^\lambda x^\mu = x^\nu x^\mu x^\lambda \tag{12}$$

The four different associative algebras with cubic commutation relations can be represented in the following diagram, in which all arrows correspond to *surjective homomorphisms*. The commuting generators can be given the common grade 0.



Let us turn now to the Z_3 generalization of anti-commuting generators, which in the usual homogeneous case with Z_2-grading define Grassmann algebras. Here, too, we have four different choices:

a) The "strong" cubic anti-commutation,

$$\Lambda_0: \quad \Sigma_{\pi\in S_3}\, \theta^{\pi(A)}\theta^{\pi(B)}\theta^{\pi(C)} = 0, \tag{13}$$

i.e. the sum of *all* permutations of three factors, even and odd ones, must vanish.

b) The somewhat weaker "cyclic" anti-commutation relation,

$$\Lambda_1: \quad \theta^A\theta^B\theta^C + \theta^B\theta^C\theta^A + \theta^C\theta^A\theta^B = 0, \tag{14}$$

i.e. the sum of *cyclic* permutations of three elements must vanish. The same independent relation for the odd combination $\theta^C\theta^B\theta^A$ holds separately.

c) The j-skew-symmetric algebra:

$$\Lambda: \theta^A\theta^B\theta^C = j\ \theta^B\theta^C\theta^A. \tag{15}$$

and its conjugate algebra $\bar{\Lambda}$, isomorphic with Λ, which we distinguish by putting a bar on the generators and using dotted indices:

d) The j^2-skew-symmetric algebra:

$$\bar{\Lambda}: \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{C}} = j^2\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{C}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}} \tag{16}$$

Both these algebras are finite dimensional. For j or j^2-skew-symmetric algebras with N generators the dimensions of their subspaces of given polynomial order are given by the following generating function:

$$H(t) = 1 + Nt + N^2t^2 + \frac{N(N-1)(N+1)}{3}\,t^3, \tag{17}$$

where we include pure numbers (dimension 1), the N generators θ^A (or $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}$), the N^2 independent quadratic combinations $\theta^A\theta^B$ and $N(N-1)(N+1)/3$ products of three generators $\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C$.

The above four cubic generalization of Grassmann algebra are represented in the following diagram, in which all the arrows are surjective homomorphisms.



2 Examples of Z_3-graded ternary algebras

2.1 The Z_3-graded analogue of Grassman algebra

Let us introduce N generators spanning a linear space over complex numbers, satisfying the following cubic relations [2], [3]:

$$\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C = j\,\theta^B\theta^C\theta^A = j^2\,\theta^C\theta^A\theta^B, \tag{18}$$

with $j = e^{2i\pi/3}$, the primitive root of 1. We have $1 + j + j^2 = 0$ and $\bar{j} = j^2$.

Let us denote the algebra spanned by the θ^A generators by $\mathcal{A}$ [2], [3].

We shall also introduce a similar set of *conjugate* generators, $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}}$, $\dot{A}, \dot{B}, ... = 1, 2, ..., N$, satisfying similar condition with j^2 replacing j:

$$\bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{C}} = j^2\,\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{C}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}} = j\,\bar{\theta}^{\dot{C}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}, \tag{19}$$

Let us denote this algebra by $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$.

We shall endow the algebra $\mathcal{A} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ with a natural Z_3 grading, considering the generators θ^A as grade 1 elements, their conjugates $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}}$ being of grade 2.

The grades add up modulo 3, so that the products $\theta^A\theta^B$ span a linear subspace of grade 2, and the cubic products $\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C$ being of grade 0. Similarly, all quadratic expressions in conjugate generators, $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}$ are of grade $2 + 2 = 4_{mod\,3} = 1$, whereas their cubic products are again of grade 0, like the cubic products of θ^A's. [8]

Combined with the associativity, these cubic relations impose finite dimension on the algebra generated by the Z_3 graded generators. As a matter of fact, cubic expressions are the highest order that does not vanish identically. The proof is immediate:

$$\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C\theta^D = j\,\theta^B\theta^C\theta^A\theta^D = j^2\,\theta^B\theta^A\theta^D\theta^C = j^3\,\theta^A\theta^D\theta^B\theta^C = j^4\,\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C\theta^D, \tag{20}$$

and because $j^4 = j \neq 1$, the only solution is $\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C\theta^D = 0$.

2.2 The Z_3 graded differential forms

Instead of the usual exterior differential operator satisfying $d^2 = 0$, we can postulate its Z_3-graded generalization satisfying

$$d^2 \neq 0, \quad d^3 f = 0$$

The first differential of a smooth function $f(x^i)$ is as usual

$$df = \partial_i f \, dx^i,$$

whereas the second differential is formally

$$d^2 f = (\partial_k \partial_i f) \, dx^k dx^i + (\partial_i f) \, d^2 x^i$$

We shall attribute the grade 1 to the 1-forms $dx^i, \ (i, j, k = 1, 2, ...N)$, and grade 2 to the forms $d^2 x^i, \ (i, j, k = 1, 2, ...N)$; under associative multiplication of these forms the grades add up *modulo* 3

$$\text{grade}(\omega \, \theta) = \text{grade}(\omega) + \text{grade}(\theta) \ (modulo 3).$$

The Z_3-graded differential operator d has the following property, compatible with grading we have chosen:

$$d(\omega \, \theta) = (d\omega) \, \theta + j^{\text{grade}_\omega} \, \omega \, d\theta.$$

$$d^2 f = (\partial_i \partial_k f) dx^i dx^k + (\partial_i f) \, d^2 x^i,$$

$$d^3 f = (\partial_m \partial_i \partial_k f) dx^m dx^i dx^k + (\partial_i \partial_k f) d^2 x^i dx^k$$
$$+ j \ (\partial_i \partial_k f) dx^i d^2 x^k + (\partial_k \partial_i f) dx^k d^2 x^i + (\partial_i f) \, d^3 x^i.$$

equivalent with

$$d^3 f = (\partial_m \partial_i \partial_k f) dx^m dx^i dx^k + (\partial_i \partial_k f)[d^2 x^k dx^i - j^2 \, dx^i d^2 x^k] + (\partial_i f) \, d^3 x^i.$$

Consequently, assuming that $d^3 x^k = 0$ and $d^3 f = 0$, to make the remaining terms vanish we must impose the following commutation relations on the products of forms:

$$dx^i dx^k dx^m = j \, dx^k dx^m dx^i, \qquad dx^i d^2 x^k = j \, d^2 x^k dx^i,$$

therefore

$$d^2 x^k dx^i = j^2 \, dx^i d^2 x^k$$

As in the case of the abstract Z_3-graded Grassmann algebra, the fourth order expressions must vanish due to the associativity of the product:

$$dx^i dx^k dx^l dx^m = 0.$$

Consequently, we shall assume that also

$$d^2 x^i d^2 x^k = 0.$$

This completes the construction of algebra of Z_3-graded exterior forms.

2.3 Ternary Clifford algebra

Let us introduce the following three 3×3 matrices:

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & j \\ j^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ j^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{21}$$

and their hermitian conjugates

$$Q_1^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & j \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & j^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & j \\ j^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_3^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{22}$$

These matrices can be allowed natural Z_3 grading,

$$\text{grade}(Q_k) = 1, \quad \text{grade}(Q_k^\dagger) = 2, \tag{23}$$

The above matrices span a very interesting ternary algebra. Out of three independent Z_3-graded ternary combinations, only one leads to a non-vanishing result. One can check without much effort that both j and j^2 skew ternary commutators do vanish:

$$\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\}_j = Q_1Q_2Q_3 + jQ_2Q_3Q_1 + j^2Q_3Q_1Q_2 = 0,$$
$$\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\}_{j^2} = Q_1Q_2Q_3 + j^2Q_2Q_3Q_1 + jQ_3Q_1Q_2 = 0,$$

and similarly for the odd permutation, $Q_2Q_1Q_3$. On the contrary, the totally symmetric combination does not vanish; it is proportional to the 3×3 identity matrix $\mathbf{1}$:

$$Q_aQ_bQ_c + Q_bQ_cQ_a + Q_cQ_aQ_b = 3\,\eta_{abc}\,\mathbf{1}, \quad a, b, ... = 1, 2, 3. \tag{24}$$

with η_{abc} given by the following non-zero components:

$$\eta_{111} = \eta_{222} = \eta_{333} = 1, \quad \eta_{123} = \eta_{231} = \eta_{312} = 1, \quad \eta_{213} = \eta_{321} = \eta_{132} = j^2. \tag{25}$$

all other components vanishing. The relation (24) may serve as the definition of *ternary Clifford algebra*.

Another set of three matrices is formed by the hermitian conjugates of Q_a, which we shall endow with dotted indeces $\dot{a}, \dot{b}, ... = 1, 2, 3$: $Q_{\dot{a}} = Q_a^\dagger$ satisfying conjugate identities

$$Q_{\dot{a}}Q_{\dot{b}}Q_{\dot{c}} + Q_{\dot{b}}Q_{\dot{c}}Q_{\dot{a}} + Q_{\dot{c}}Q_{\dot{a}}Q_{\dot{b}} = 3\,\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}}\,\mathbf{1}, \quad \dot{a}, \dot{b}, ... = 1, 2, 3. \tag{26}$$

with $\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}} = \bar{\eta}_{abc}$.

It is obvious that any similarity transformation of the generators Q_a will keep the ternary anti-commutator (24) invariant. As a matter of fact, if we define $\tilde{Q}_b = P^{-1}Q_bP$, with P a non-singular 3×3 matrix, the new set of generators will satisfy the same ternary relations, because

$$\tilde{Q}_a\tilde{Q}_b\tilde{Q}_c = P^{-1}Q_aPP^{-1}Q_bPP^{-1}Q_cP = P^{-1}(Q_aQ_bQ_c)P,$$

and on the right-hand side we have the unit matrix which commutes with all other matrices, so that $P^{-1}\,\mathbf{1}\,P = \mathbf{1}$.

3 Generalized $Z_2 \times Z_3$-graded ternary algebra

Let us suppose that we have binary and ternary skew-symmetric products defined by corresponding structure constants:

$$\xi^\alpha \xi^\beta = -\xi^\beta \xi^\alpha \tag{27}$$

$$\theta^A \theta^B \theta^C = j\ \theta^B \theta^C \theta^A \tag{28}$$

The unifying ternary relation is of the type Λ_0, i.e.

$$X^i X^j X^k + X^j X^k X^i + X^k X^i X^j + X^k X^j X^i + X^j X^i X^k + X^i X^k X^j = 0. \tag{29}$$

It is obviously satisfied by both types of variables; the θ^A's by definition of the product, for which at this stage the associativity property can be not decided yet; the product of grassmannian ξ^α variables (27) on the contrary, should be associative in order to make the formula (29) applicable.

It can be added that the cubic constitutive relation (28) satisfies a simpler condition with cyclic permutations only,

$$\theta^A \theta^B \theta^C + \theta^B \theta^C \theta^A + \theta^C \theta^A \theta^B = 0,$$

but the cubic products of grassmannian variables are invariant under even (cyclic) permutations, so that only the combination of all six permutations of $\xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \xi^\gamma$, like in (29) will vanish.

Now, if we want to merge the two algebras into a common one, we must impose the general condition (29) to the mixed cubic products. These are of two types: $\theta^A \xi^\alpha \theta^B$ and $\xi^\alpha \theta^B \xi^\beta$, with two θ's and one ξ, or with two ξ's and one θ. These identities, all like (29) should follow from *binary* constitutive relations imposed on the *associative* products between one θ and one ξ variable.

Let us suppose that one has

$$\xi^\alpha \theta^B = \omega\ \theta^B \xi^\alpha \quad \text{and consequently} \quad \theta^A \xi^\beta = \omega^{-1}\ \xi^\beta \theta^A. \tag{30}$$

A simple exercise leads to the conclusion that in order to satisfy the general condition (29), the unknown factor ω must verify the equation $\omega + \omega^{-1} + 1 = 0$, or equivalently, $\omega + \omega^2 + \omega^3 = 0$. Indeed, we have, assuming the associativity:

$$\theta^A \xi^\alpha \theta^B = \omega^{-1}\ \xi^\alpha \theta^A \theta^B = \omega\ \theta^A \theta^B \xi^\alpha,$$

$$\theta^B \xi^\alpha \theta^A = \omega^{-1}\ \xi^\alpha \theta^B \theta^A = \omega\ \theta^B \theta^A \xi^\alpha.$$

¿From this we get, by transforming all the six products so that ξ^α should appear always in front of the monomials:

$$\theta^A \xi^\alpha \theta^B = \omega^{-1}\ \xi^\alpha \theta^A \theta^B, \ \ \theta^A \theta^B \xi^\alpha = \omega^{-2}\ \xi^\alpha \theta^A \theta^B,$$

$$\theta^B\xi^\alpha\theta^A = \omega^{-1}\,\xi^\alpha\theta^B\theta^A,\;\; \theta^B\theta^A\xi^\alpha = \omega^{-2}\,\xi^\alpha\theta^B\theta^A.$$

Adding up all permutatuons, even (cyclic) and odd alike, we get the following result:

$$\theta^A\xi^\alpha\theta^B + \xi^\alpha\theta^B\theta^A + \theta^B\theta^A\xi^\alpha + \theta^B\xi^\alpha\theta^A + \xi^\alpha\theta^A\theta^B + \theta^A\theta^B\xi^\alpha =$$

$$(1+\omega+\omega^{-1})\,\xi^\alpha\theta^A\theta^B + (1+\omega+\omega^{-1})\,\xi^\alpha\theta^B\theta^A. \tag{31}$$

The expression in (31) will identically vanish if $\omega = j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ (or j^2, which satisfies the same relation $j + j^2 + 1 = 0$.

The second type of cubic monomials, $\xi^\alpha\theta^B\xi^\beta$, satisfies the identity

$$\xi^\alpha\theta^B\xi^\delta + \theta^B\xi^\delta\xi^\alpha + \xi^\delta\xi^\alpha\theta^B + \xi^\delta\theta^B\xi^\alpha + \theta^B\xi^\alpha\xi^\delta + \xi^\alpha\xi^\delta\theta^B = 0 \tag{32}$$

no matter what the value of ω is chosen in the constitutive relation (30), the antisymmetry of the product of two ξ's suffices. As a matter of fact, because we have $\xi^\alpha\xi^\delta = -\xi^\delta\xi^\alpha$, in the formula (32) the second term cancels the fifth term, and the third termis cancelled by the sixth one. What remains is the sum of the first and the fourth terms:

$$\xi^\alpha\theta^B\xi^\delta + \xi^\delta\theta^B\xi^\alpha.$$

Now we can transform both terms so as to put the factor θ in front; this will give

$$\xi^\alpha\theta^B\xi^\delta + \xi^\delta\theta^B\xi^\alpha = \omega\theta^B\xi^\alpha\xi^\delta + \omega\theta^B\xi^\delta\xi^\alpha = 0 \tag{33}$$

because of the anti-symmetry of the product between the two ξ's.

This completes the construction of the $Z_3 \times Z_2$-graded extension of Grassman algebra.

The existence of *two* cubic roots of unity, j and j^2, suggests that one can extend the above algebraic construction by introducing a set of *conjugate* generators, denoted for convenience with a bar and with dotted indeces, satisfying conjugate ternary constitutive relation (19). The unifying condition of vanishing of the sum of all permutations (algebra of Λ_0-type) will be automatically satisfied.

But now we have to extend this condition to the triple products of the type $\theta^A\bar\theta^{\dot B}\theta^C$ and $\bar\theta^{\dot A}\theta^B\bar\theta^{\dot C}$. This will be achieved if we impose the obvious condition, similar to the one proposed already fo binary combinations $\xi\theta$:

$$\theta^A\bar\theta^{\dot B} = j\bar\theta^{\dot B}\theta^A, \quad \bar\theta^{\dot B}\theta^A = j^2\;\theta^A\bar\theta^{\dot B} \tag{34}$$

The proof of the validity of the condition (29) for the above combinations is exactly the same as for the triple products $\xi^\alpha\theta^B\xi^\gamma$ and $\theta^A\xi^\delta\theta^B$.

We have also to impose commutation relations on the mixed products of the type

$$\xi^\alpha\bar\theta^{\dot B}\xi^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \bar\theta^{\dot B}\xi^\beta\bar\theta^{\dot C}.$$

It is easy to see that like in the former case, it is enough to impose the commutation rule similar to the former one with θ's, namely

$$\xi^\alpha\bar\theta^{\dot B} = j^2\;\bar\theta^{\dot B}\xi^\alpha \tag{35}$$

Although we could stop at this point the extension of our algebra, for the sake of symmetry it seems useful to introduce the new set of conjugate variables $\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ of the Z_2-graded type. We shall suppose that they anti-commute, like the ξ^{β}'s, and not only between themselves, but also with their conjugates, which means that we assume

$$\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}} = -\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \xi^{\alpha}\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}} = -\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}}\xi^{\alpha}. \tag{36}$$

This ensures that the condition (29) will be satisfied by any ternary combination of the Z_2-graded generators, including the mixed ones like

$$\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}\xi^{\beta}\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\delta}} \quad \text{or} \quad \xi^{\beta}\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}\xi^{\gamma}.$$

The dimensions of classical Grassmann algebras with n generators are well known: they are equal to 2^n, with subspaces spanned by the products of k generators having the dimension $C_k^n = n!/(n-k)!k!$. With $2n$ anticommuting generators, ξ^{α} and $\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}}$ we shall have the dimension of the corresponding Grassmann algebra equal to 2^{2n}.

It is also quite easy to determine the dimension of the Z_3-graded generalizations of Grassmann algebras constructed above (see, e.g. in [3], [4], [5]). The Z_3-graded algebra with N generators θ^A has the total dimension $N+N^2+(N^3-N)/3 = (N^3+N^2+2N)/3$. The conjugate algebra, with the same number of generators, has the identical dimension. However, the dimension of the extended algebra unifying both these algebras is not equal to the square of the dimension of one of them because of the extra conditions on the mixed products between the generators and their conjugates, $\theta^A\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}} = \bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}\theta^A$.

4 Two distinct gradings: $Z_3 \times Z_2$ versus Z_6

The Z_2-grading of ordinary (binary) algebras is well known and widely studied and applied (e.g. in the super-symmetric field theories in Physics). The Grassmann algebra is perhaps the oldest and the best known example of a Z_2-graded structure. Other gradings are much less popular. The Z_3-grading was introduced and studied in the paper [2]; the Z_N grading was discussed in [6] and [7].

In the case of ternary algebras of type Λ_1 or Λ_2, the grade 1 is attributed to the generators θ^A and the grade 2 to the conjugate generators $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}$. Consequently, their products acquire the grade which is the sum of grades of the factors modulo 3. When we consider an algebra including a ternary Z_3-graded subalgebra and a binary Z_2-graded one, we can quite naturally introduce a combination of the two gradings considered as a pair of two numbers, say (a, λ), with $a = 0, 1, 2$ representing the Z_3-grade, and $\lambda = 0, 1$ representing the Z_2 grade, $\lambda = 0, 1$. The first grades add up modulo 3, the second grades add up modulo 2. The six possible combined grades are then

$$(0,0), \quad (1,0), \quad (2,0), \quad (0,1), \quad (1,1) \quad \text{and} \quad (2,1). \tag{37}$$

To add up two of the combined grades amounts to adding up their first entries modulo 3, and their second entries modulo 2. Thus, we have

$$(2,1)+(1,1) = (3,2) \simeq (0,0), \quad \text{or} \quad ((2,1)+(1,0) = (3,1) \simeq (0,1), \quad \text{and so forth.}$$

It is well known that the cartesian product of two cyclic groups $Z_N \times Z_n$, N and n being two prime numbers, is the cyclic group Z_{Nn} corresponding to the product of those prime numbers. This means that there is an isomorphism between the cyclic group Z_6, generated by the *sixth* primitive root of unity, $q^6 = 1$, satisfying the equation

$$q + q^2 + q^3 + q^4 + q^5 + q^6 = 0.$$

This group can be represented on the complex plane, with $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{6}}$, as shown on the diagram below:



Figure 1: Representation of the cyclic group Z_6 in the complex plane with three colors and three "anti-colors" attributed to even and odd powers of q, accordingly with colors attributed in Quantum Chromodynamics to quatks and to anti-quarks.

The elements of the group Z_6 represented by complex numbers multiply modulo 6, e. g. $q^4 \cdot q^5 = q^9 \simeq q^3$, etc. The six elements of Z_6 can be put in the one-to-one correspondence with the pairs defining six elements of $Z_3 \times Z_2$ according to the following scheme:

$$(0,0) \simeq q^0 = 1, \quad (2,1) \simeq q, \quad (1,0) \simeq q^2, \quad (0,1) \simeq q^3, \quad (2,0) \simeq q^4, \quad (1,1) \simeq q^5. \qquad (38)$$

The same result can be obtained directly using the representations of Z_3 and Z_2 in the complex plane. Taken separately, each of these cyclic groups is generated by one non-trivial element, the third root of unity $j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ for Z_3 and $-1 = e^{\pi i}$ for Z_2. It is enough to multiply these complex numbers and take their different powers in order to get all the six elements of the cyclic group Z_6. One easily identifies then

$$-j^2 = q, \quad j = q^2, \quad -1 = q^3, \quad j^2 = q^4, \quad -j = q^5, \quad 1 = q^6.$$

The colors attributed to the powers of the complex generator q can be used to modelize the exclusion principle used in Quantum Chromodynamics, where exclusively the "white" combinations of three quarks and three anti-quarks, as well as the "white" quark-anti-quark pairs are declared observable. Replacing the word "white" by 0, we see that there

are *two* vanishing linear combinations of *three* powers of q, and *three* pairs of powers of q that are also equal to zero. Indeed, we have:

$$q^2 + q^4 + q^6 = j + j^2 + 1 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad q + q^3 + q^5 = -j^2 - 1 - j = 0, \tag{39}$$

as well as

$$q + q^4 = 0, \quad q^2 + q^5 = 0, \quad q^3 + q^6 = 0. \tag{40}$$

The Z_6-grading should unite both Z_2 and Z_3 gradings, reproducing their essential properties. Obviously, the Z_3 subgroup is formed by the elements 1, q^2 and q^4, while the Z_2 subgroup is formed by the elements 1 and $q^3 = -1$. In what follows, we shall see that the associativity imposes many restrictions which can be postponed in the case of non-associative ternary structures.

The natural choice for the Z_3-graded algebra with cubic relations was to attribute the grade 1 to the generators θ^A, and grade 2 to their conjugates $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}$. All other expressions formed by products and powers of those got the well defined grade, the sum of the grades of factors modulo 3. In a simple Cartesian product of two algebras, a Z_3-graded with a Z_2-graded one, the generators of the latter will be given grade 1, and their products will get automatically the grade which is the sum of the grades of factors modulo 2, which means that the all products and powers of generators ξ^α will acquire grade 1 or 0 according to the number and character of factors involved. The mixed products of the type $\theta^A \xi^\beta$, $\xi^\beta \theta^B \theta^C$, etc. can be given the double $Z_3 \times Z_2$ grade according to (37). According to the isomorphism defined by (38), this is equivalent to a Z_6-grading of the product algebra.

As long as the algebra is supposed to be *homogeneous* in the sense that all the constitutive relations contain exclusively terms of *one and the same type*, like in the extension of Grassmann algebra discussed above, the supposed associativity does not impose any particular restrictions. However, this is not the case if we consider the possibility of *non-homogeneous* constitutive equations, including terms of different nature, but with the same Z_6-grade. The grading defined by (38) suggests a possibility of extending the constitutive relations by comparing terms of the type $\theta^A \theta^B \theta^C$, whose Z_6-grade is 3, to the generators ξ^α having the same Z_6-grade. This will lead to the following constitutive relations:

$$\theta^A \theta^B \theta^C = \rho^{ABC}{}_\alpha \, \xi^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{C}} = \bar{\rho}^{\dot{A}\dot{B}\dot{C}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \, \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \tag{41}$$

with the coefficients (structure constants) $\rho^{ABC}{}_\alpha$ and $\bar{\rho}^{\dot{A}\dot{B}\dot{C}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ displaying obvious symmetry properties mimicking the properties of ternary products of θ-generators with respect to cyclic permutations:

$$\rho^{ABC}{}_\alpha = j \, \rho^{BCA}{}_\alpha = j^2 \, \rho^{CAB}{}_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\rho}^{\dot{A}\dot{B}\dot{C}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} = j^2 \, \bar{\rho}^{\dot{B}\dot{C}\dot{A}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} = j \, \bar{\rho}^{\dot{C}\dot{A}\dot{B}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}. \tag{42}$$

If all products are supposed to be associative, then we see immediately that the products between θ and ξ generators, as well as those between $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\xi}$ generators must vanish

identically, because of the vanishing of quatric products $\theta\theta\theta\theta = 0$ and $\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta} = 0$. This means that we must set

$$\theta^A \, \xi^\beta = 0, \quad \xi^\beta \theta^A = 0, \quad \text{as well as} \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}} \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0, \quad \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}} = 0. \tag{43}$$

But now we want to unite the two gradings into a unique common one. Let us start by defining a ternary product of generators, not necessarily derived from an ordinary associative algebra. We shall just suppose the existence of ternary product of generators, displaying the j-skew symmetry property:

$$\{\theta^A, \theta^B, \theta^C\} = j\{\theta^B, \theta^C, \theta^A\} = j^2\{\theta^C, \theta^A, \theta^B\}. \tag{44}$$

and similarly, for the conjugate generators,

$$\{\bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}}, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{C}}\} = j^2 \, \{\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{C}}, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}}\} = j \, \{\bar{\theta}^{\dot{C}}, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}}, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}\}. \tag{45}$$

Let us attribute the Z_6-grade 1 to the generators θ^A. Then it is logical to attribute the Z_6 grade 5 to the conjugate generators $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}$, so that mixed products $\theta^A \bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}$ would be of Z_6 grade 0. Ternary products (44) are of grade 3, and ternary products of conjugate generators (45) are also of grade 3, because $5 + 5 + 5 = 15$, and 15 *modulo* $6 = 3$. But we have also $q^3 = -1$, which is the generator of the Z_2-subalgebra of Z_6. Therefore we should attribute the Z_6-grade 3 to both kinds of the anti-commuting variables, ξ^α and $\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}}$, because we can write their constitutive relations using the root q as follows:

$$\xi^\alpha \xi^\beta = -\xi^\beta \xi^\alpha = q^3 \, \xi^\beta \xi^\alpha, \quad \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}} = -\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = q^3 \, \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \xi^\alpha \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}} = -\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}} \xi^\alpha = q^3 \, \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}} \xi^\alpha, \tag{46}$$

On the other hand, the expressions containing products of θ with $\bar{\xi}$ and $\bar{\theta}$ with ξ:

$$\theta^A \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}} \xi^\beta$$

The first expression has the Z_6-grade $1 + 3 = 4$, and the second product has the Z_6-grade $5 + 3 = 8$ *modulo* $6 = 2$. Other products endowed with the same grade in our associative Z_6-grade algebra are $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}} \, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}$ (grade 4, because $5 + 5 = 10 \quad$ *modulo* $\quad 6 = 4$, and $\theta^A \theta^B$ of grade 2, because $1 + 1 = 2$).

This suggests that the following non-homogeneous constitutive relations can be proposed:

$$\theta^A \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = f^{A\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{C}\dot{D}} \, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{C}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{D}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}} \xi^\alpha = \bar{f}^{\dot{A}\alpha}{}_{CD} \, \theta^C \theta^D, \tag{47}$$

where the coefficients should display the symmetry properties contravariant to those of the generators themselves, which means that we should have

$$f^{A\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{C}\dot{D}} = j^2 \, f^{\dot{\alpha}A}{}_{\dot{C}\dot{D}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{f}^{\dot{A}\alpha}{}_{CD} = j \, \bar{f}^{\alpha\dot{A}}{}_{CD} \tag{48}$$

5 Invariance groups of Z_2 and Z_3 skew-symmetric algebras

Before discussing the merger of a Z_2-graded algebra with a Z_3-graded algebra, let us explore the invariance properties of each type separately. First, let us ask what kind of linear transformations preserves the ordinary Grassmann algebra spanned by anti-commuting generators $\xi^\alpha \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, ...n)$. Any linearly independent n combinations of anti-commuting variables ξ^α will span another anti-commuting basis: indeed, if $\eta^{\alpha'} = S^{\alpha'}_\beta \xi^\beta$, and take on purely numerical values, i.e. do commute with all other generators, then we can write

$$\eta^{\alpha'}\eta^{\delta'} = S^{\alpha'}_\alpha \xi^\alpha \; S^{\delta'}_\delta \xi^\delta = S^{\alpha'}_\alpha \; S^{\delta'}_\delta \; \xi^\alpha \xi^\delta = -S^{\alpha'}_\alpha \; S^{\delta'}_\delta \; \xi^\delta \xi^\alpha = -S^{\delta'}_\delta \; \xi^\delta S^{\alpha'}_\alpha \; \xi^\alpha = -\eta^{\delta'}\eta^{\alpha'} \qquad (49)$$

Let us consider the simplest case of a Z_2-graded algebra spanned by two generators $\xi^\alpha, \;\; \alpha, \beta = 1, 2$. The anti-commutation property can be encoded in the invariant 2-form $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$. We can obviously write

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\xi^\alpha\xi^\beta = \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha}\xi^\beta\xi^\alpha = -\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha}\xi^\alpha\xi^\beta,$$

from which we conclude that $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = -\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha}$. We can choose the basis in which

$$\varepsilon_{11} = 0, \quad \varepsilon_{22} = 0, \quad \varepsilon_{12} = -\varepsilon_{21} = 1.$$

After a change of basis, $\xi^\beta \to S^{\alpha'}_\beta \xi^\beta = \eta^{\alpha'}$ the 2-form $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$, as any tensor, also undergoes the inverse transformation:

$$S^\alpha_{\alpha'} S^\beta_{\beta'} \, \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta},$$

with $S^\alpha_{\beta'}$ the inverse matrix of the matrix $S^{\beta'}_\beta$. Whatever non-singular linear transformation $S^\beta_{\beta'}$ is chosen, the new components $\varepsilon_{\alpha'\beta'}$ remain anti-symmetric, but they have not necessarily the same values as those of $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ However, if we require that also in new basis

$$\varepsilon_{1'1'} = 0, \quad \varepsilon_{2'2'} = 0, \quad \varepsilon_{1'2'} = -\varepsilon_{2'1'} = 1,$$

then it is easy to show that this imposes extra condition on the 2×2 matrix $S^{\alpha'}_\beta$, namely that $\det S = 1$. This defines the $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ group as the group of invariance of the subalgebra spanned by two anti-commuting generators ξ^α, $\alpha, \beta, ... = 1, 2$.

Now let us turn to the invariance properties of the ternary subalgebra spanned by two generators $\theta^1, \;\; \theta^2$, satisfying homogeneous cubic j-anticummutation relations $\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C = q^2 \;\; \theta^B\theta^C\theta^A$, and their conjugate counterparts $\bar\theta^{\dot 1}, \;\; \bar\theta^{\dot 2}$ satisfying homogeneous cubic j^2 anti-commutation relations $\bar\theta^{\dot A}\bar\theta^{\dot B}\bar\theta^{\dot C} = j^2 \; \bar\theta^{\dot B}\bar\theta^{\dot C}\bar\theta^{\dot A}$

We shall also impose *binary* constitutive relations between the generators θ^A and their conjugate counterparts $\bar\theta^{\dot B}$, making the choice consistent with the introduced Z_6-grading

$$\theta^A\bar\theta^{\dot B} = -j \; \bar\theta^{\dot B}\theta^A, \quad \bar\theta^{\dot B}\theta^A = -j^2 \; \theta^A\bar\theta^{\dot B}.$$

Consider a tri-linear form ρ^{α}_{ABC}. We shall call this form Z_3-invariant if we can write:

$$\rho^{\alpha}_{ABC}\,\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C = \frac{1}{3}\left[\rho^{\alpha}_{ABC}\,\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C + \rho^{\alpha}_{BCA}\,\theta^B\theta^C\theta^A + \rho^{\alpha}_{CAB}\,\theta^C\theta^A\theta^B\right] =$$

$$= \frac{1}{3}\left[\rho^{\alpha}_{ABC}\,\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C + \rho^{\alpha}_{BCA}\,(j^2\,\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C) + \rho^{\alpha}_{CAB}\,j\,(\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C)\right], \tag{50}$$

by virtue of the commutation relations (18).

¿From this it follows that we should have

$$\rho^{\alpha}_{ABC}\;\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C = \frac{1}{3}\left[\rho^{\alpha}_{ABC} + j^2\,\rho^{\alpha}_{BCA} + j\,\rho^{\alpha}_{CAB}\right]\theta^A\theta^B\theta^C, \tag{51}$$

from which we get the following properties of the ρ-cubic matrices:

$$\rho^{\alpha}_{ABC} = j^2\,\rho^{\alpha}_{BCA} = j\,\rho^{\alpha}_{CAB}. \tag{52}$$

Even in this minimal and discrete case, there are covariant and contravariant indices: the lower and the upper indices display the inverse transformation property. If a given cyclic permutation is represented by a multiplication by j for the upper indices, the same permutation performed on the lower indices is represented by multiplication by the inverse, i.e. j^2, so that they compensate each other.

Similar reasoning leads to the definition of the conjugate forms $\bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{C}\dot{B}\dot{A}}$ satisfying the relations similar to (52) with j replaced be its conjugate, j^2:

$$\bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}\dot{C}} = j\,\bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{B}\dot{C}\dot{A}} = j^2\,\bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{C}\dot{A}\dot{B}} \tag{53}$$

In the simplest case of two generators, the j-skew-invariant forms have only two independent components:

$$\rho^1_{121} = j\,\rho^1_{211} = j^2\,\rho^1_{112},$$
$$\rho^2_{212} = j\,\rho^2_{122} = j^2\,\rho^2_{221},$$

and we can set

$$\rho^1_{121} = 1,\ \rho^1_{211} = j^2,\ \rho^1_{112} = j,$$
$$\rho^2_{212} = 1,\ \rho^2_{122} = j^2,\ \rho^2_{221} = j.$$

The constitutive cubic relations between the generators of the Z_3 graded algebra can be considered as intrinsic if they are conserved after linear transformations with commuting (pure number) coefficients, i.e. if they are independent of the choice of the basis.

Let $U^{A'}_{A}$ denote a non-singular $N \times N$ matrix, transforming the generators θ^A into another set of generators, $\theta^{B'} = U^{B'}_{B}\,\theta^B$. In principle, the generators of the Z_2-graded subalgebra ξ^{α} may or may not undergo a change of basis. Uniting the two subalgebras

in one Z_6-graded algebra suggests that a change of basis should concern all generators at once, both ξ^α and θ^A, This means that under the simultaneous change of basis,

$$\xi^\alpha \to \tilde{\xi}^{\beta'} = S^{\beta'}_{\alpha}\ \xi^\alpha\ \xi^\alpha, \quad \theta^A \to \tilde{\theta}^{B'} = U^{B'}_{A}\ \theta^A, \tag{54}$$

It seems natural to identify the upper indices α, β appearing in the ρ-tensors with the indices appearing in the generators ξ^α of the Z_2-graded subalgebra. Therefore, we are looking for the solution of the simultaneous invariance condition for the $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ and ρ^α_{ABC} tensors:

$$\epsilon_{\alpha'\beta'} = S^{\alpha}_{\alpha'} S^{\beta}_{\beta'}\ \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad S^{\alpha'}_{\beta}\ \rho^{\beta}_{ABC} = U^{A'}_{A}\ U^{B'}_{B}\ U^{C'}_{C}\ \rho^{\alpha'}_{A'B'C'}, \tag{55}$$

so that in new basis the numerical values of borth tensors remain the same as before, just like the components of the Minkowskian space-time metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ remain unchanged under the Lorentz transformations. Notice that in the last formula above, (55), the matrix $S^{\alpha'}_{\alpha}$ is the inverse matrix for $S^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}$ appearing in the transformation of the basis ξ^β.

Now, $\rho^1_{121} = 1$, and we have two equations corresponding to the choice of values of the index α' equal to 1 or 2. For $\alpha' = 1'$ the ρ-matrix on the right-hand side is $\rho^{1'}_{A'B'C'}$, which has only three components,

$$\rho^{1'}_{1'2'1'} = 1, \quad \rho^{1'}_{2'1'1'} = j^2, \quad \rho^{1'}_{1'1'2'} = j,$$

which leads to the following equation:

$$S^{1'}_1 = U^{1'}_1\, U^{2'}_2\, U^{1'}_1 + j^2\, U^{2'}_1\, U^{1'}_2\, U^{1'}_1 + j\, U^{1'}_1\, U^{1'}_2\, U^{2'}_1 = U^{1'}_1\, (U^{2'}_2\, U^{1'}_1 - U^{2'}_1\, U^{1'}_2), \tag{56}$$

because $j^2 + j = -1$. For the alternative choice $\alpha' = 2'$ the ρ-matrix on the right-hand side is $\rho^{2'}_{A'B'C'}$, whose three non-vanishing components are

$$\rho^{2'}_{2'1'2'} = 1, \quad \rho^{2'}_{1'2'2'} = j^2, \quad \rho^{2'}_{2'2'1'} = j.$$

The corresponding equation becomes now:

$$S^{2'}_1 = U^{2'}_1\, U^{1'}_2\, U^{2'}_1 + j^2\, U^{1'}_1\, U^{2'}_2\, U^{2'}_1 + j\, U^{2'}_1\, U^{2'}_2\, U^{1'}_1 = U^{2'}_1\, (U^{1'}_2\, U^{2'}_1 - U^{1'}_1\, U^{2'}_2), \tag{57}$$

The two remaining equations are obtained in a similar manner. We choose now the three lower indices on the left-hand side equal to another independent combination, (212). Then the ρ-matrix on the left hand side must be ρ^2 whose component ρ^2_{212} is equal to 1. This leads to the following equation when $\alpha' = 1'$:

$$S^{1'}_2 = U^{1'}_2\, U^{2'}_1\, U^{1'}_2 + j^2\, U^{2'}_2\, U^{1'}_1\, U^{1'}_2 + j\, U^{1'}_2\, U^{1'}_1\, U^{2'}_2 = U^{1'}_2\, (U^{1'}_2\, U^{2'}_1 - U^{1'}_1\, U^{2'}_2), \tag{58}$$

and the fourth equation corresponding to $\alpha' = 2'$ is:

$$S^{2'}_2 = U^{2'}_2\, U^{1'}_1\, U^{2'}_2 + j^2\, U^{1'}_2\, U^{2'}_1\, U^{2'}_2 + j\, U^{2'}_2\, U^{2'}_1\, U^{1'}_2 = U^{2'}_2\, (U^{1'}_1\, U^{2'}_2 - U^{2'}_1\, U^{1'}_2). \tag{59}$$

The determinant of the 2×2 complex matrix $U^{A'}_B$ appears everywhere on the right-hand side.

$$S^{2'}_1 = -U^{2'}_1\, [\det(U)], \tag{60}$$

The remaining two equations are obtained in a similar manner, resulting in the following:

$$S_2^{1'} = -U_2^{1'}\,[\det(U)], \qquad S_2^{2'} = U_2^{2'}\,[\det(U)]. \tag{61}$$

The determinant of the 2×2 complex matrix $U_B^{A'}$ appears everywhere on the right-hand side. Taking the determinant of the matrix $S_\beta^{\alpha'}$ one gets immediately

$$\det(S) = [\det(U)]^3. \tag{62}$$

However, the U-matrices on the right-hand side are defined only up to the phase, which due to the cubic character of the covariance relations (56 - 61), and they can take on three different values: 1, j or j^2, i.e. the matrices $j\,U_B^{A'}$ or $j^2\,U_B^{A'}$ satisfy the same relations as the matrices $U_B^{A'}$ defined above. The determinant of U can take on the values 1, j or j^2 if $det(S) = 1$

Another reason to impose the unitarity condition is as follows. It can be derived if we require the same behavior for the duals, ρ_β^{DEF}. This extra condition amounts to the invariance of the anti-symmetric tensor ϵ^{AB}, and this is possible only if the determinant of U-matrices is 1 (or j or j^2, because only cubic combinations of these matrices appear in the tranformation law for ρ-forms.

We have determined the invariance group for the simultaneous change of the basis in our Z_6-graded algebra. However, these transformations based on the $SL(2, \mathbf{C}$ groups combined with complex representation of the Z_3 cyclic group keep invariant the binary constitutive relations between the Z_2-graded generators ξ^{alpha} and the ternary constitutive relations between the Z_3-graded generators alone, without mentioning their conjugates $\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ and $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}$.

Let us put aside for the moment the conjugate Z_2-graded variables, and concentrate our attention on the conjugate Z_3-graded generators $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{A}}$ and their commutation relations with θ^B generators, which we shall modify as:

$$\theta^A\,\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}} = -j\,\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}\,\theta^A, \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}\,\theta^A = -j^2\,\theta^A\,\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}. \tag{63}$$

A similar covariance requirement can be formulated with respect to the set of 2-forms mapping the quadratic $\theta^A\,\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}$ combinations into a four-dimensional linear real space.

It is easy to see, by counting the independent combinations of dotted and undotted indices, that the symmetry (63) imposed on these expressions reduces their number to four: $(1\dot{1})$, $(1, \dot{2})$, $(2\ \dot{1})$, $(2, \dot{2})$, the conjugate combinations of the type $(\dot{A}\ B)$ being dependent on the first four because of the imposed symmetry properties.

Let us define two quadratic forms, $\pi^\mu_{A\dot{B}}$ and its conjugate $\bar{\pi}^\mu_{\dot{B}A}$

$$\pi^\mu_{A\dot{B}}\,\theta^A\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\pi}^\mu_{\dot{B}A}\,\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}\theta^A. \tag{64}$$

The Greek indices $\mu, \nu...$ take on four values, and we shall label them $0, 1, 2, 3$.

The four tensors $\pi^\mu_{A\dot{B}}$ and their hermitian conjugates $\bar{\pi}^\mu_{\dot{B}A}$ define a bi-linear mapping from the product of quark and anti-quark cubic algebras into a linear four-dimensional vector space, whose structure is not yet defined.

Let us impose the following invariance condition:

$$\pi^{\mu}_{A\dot{B}}\,\theta^{A}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}} = \bar{\pi}^{\mu}_{\dot{B}A}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}\theta^{A}. \tag{65}$$

It follows immediately from (63) that

$$\pi^{\mu}_{A\dot{B}} = -j^{2}\,\bar{\pi}^{\mu}_{\dot{B}A}. \tag{66}$$

Such matrices are non-hermitian, and they can be realized by the following substitution:

$$\pi^{\mu}_{A\dot{B}} = j^{2}\,i\,\sigma^{\mu}_{A\dot{B}}, \quad \bar{\pi}^{\mu}_{\dot{B}A} = -j\,i\,\sigma^{\mu}_{\dot{B}A} \tag{67}$$

where $\sigma^{\mu}_{A\dot{B}}$ are the unit 2 matrix for $\mu = 0$, and the three hermitian Pauli matrices for $\mu = 1, 2, 3$.

Again, we want to get the same form of these four matrices in another basis. Knowing that the lower indices A and $\dot{B}$ undergo the transformation with matrices $U^{A'}_{B}$ and $\bar{U}^{\dot{A}'}_{\dot{B}}$, we demand that there exist some 4×4 matrices $\Lambda^{\mu'}_{\nu}$ representing the transformation of lower indices by the matrices U and $\bar{U}$:

$$\Lambda^{\mu'}_{\nu}\,\pi^{\nu}_{A\dot{B}} = U^{A'}_{A}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{B}'}_{\dot{B}}\,\pi^{\mu'}_{A'\dot{B}'}, \tag{68}$$

It is clear that we can replace the matrices $\pi^{\nu}_{A\dot{B}}$ by the corresponding matrices $\sigma^{\nu}_{A\dot{B}}$, and this defines the vector (4×4) representation of the Lorentz group.

The first four equations relating the 4×4 real matrices $\Lambda^{\mu'}_{\nu}$ with the 2×2 complex matrices $U^{A'}_{B}$ and $\bar{U}^{\dot{A}'}_{\dot{B}}$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{0'}_{0} - \Lambda^{0'}_{3} &= U^{1'}_{2}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{1}'}_{\dot{2}} + U^{2'}_{2}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{2}'}_{\dot{2}} \\
\Lambda^{0'}_{0} + \Lambda^{0'}_{3} &= U^{1'}_{1}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{1}'}_{\dot{1}} + U^{2'}_{1}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{2}'}_{\dot{1}} \\
\Lambda^{0'}_{0} - i\Lambda^{0'}_{2} &= U^{1'}_{1}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{1}'}_{\dot{2}} + U^{2'}_{1}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{2}'}_{\dot{2}} \\
\Lambda^{0'}_{0} + i\Lambda^{0'}_{2} &= U^{1'}_{2}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{1}'}_{\dot{1}} + U^{2'}_{2}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{2}'}_{\dot{1}}
\end{aligned}$$

The next four equations relating the 4×4 real matrices $\Lambda^{\mu'}_{\nu}$ with the 2×2 complex matrices $U^{A'}_{B}$ and $\bar{U}^{\dot{A}'}_{\dot{B}}$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{1'}_{0} - \Lambda^{1'}_{3} &= U^{1'}_{2}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{2}'}_{\dot{2}} + U^{2'}_{2}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{1}'}_{\dot{2}} \\
\Lambda^{1'}_{0} + \Lambda^{1'}_{3} &= U^{1'}_{1}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{2}'}_{\dot{1}} + U^{2'}_{1}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{1}'}_{\dot{1}} \\
\Lambda^{1'}_{1} - i\Lambda^{1'}_{2} &= U^{1'}_{1}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{2}'}_{\dot{2}} + U^{2'}_{1}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{1}'}_{\dot{2}} \\
\Lambda^{1'}_{1} + i\Lambda^{1'}_{2} &= U^{1'}_{2}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{2}'}_{\dot{1}} + U^{2'}_{2}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{1}'}_{\dot{1}}
\end{aligned}$$

We skip the next two groups of four equations corresponding to the "spatial" indices 2 and 3, reproducing the same scheme as the last four equations with the space index equal to 1.

It can be checked that now $\det(\Lambda) = [\det U]^2 \left[\det \bar{U}\right]^2$.

The group of transformations thus defined is $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$, which is the covering group of the Lorentz group.

With the invariant "spinorial metric" in two complex dimensions, ε^{AB} and $\varepsilon^{\dot{A}\dot{B}}$ such that $\varepsilon^{12} = -\varepsilon^{21} = 1$ and $\varepsilon^{\dot{1}\dot{2}} = -\varepsilon^{\dot{2}\dot{1}}$, we can define the contravariant components $\pi^{\nu\ A\dot{B}}$. It is easy to show that the Minkowskian space-time metric, invariant under the Lorentz transformations, can be defined as

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\pi^{\mu}_{A\dot{B}}\,\pi^{\nu\ A\dot{B}}\right] = diag(+,-,-,-) \tag{69}$$

Together with the anti-commuting spinors ψ^{α} the four real coefficients defining a Lorentz vector, $x_{\mu}\,\pi^{\mu}_{A\dot{B}}$, can generate now the supersymmetry via standard definitions of super-derivations. Let us then choose the matrices $\Lambda^{\alpha'}_{\beta}$ to be the usual spinor representation of the $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ group, while the matrices $U^{A'}_{B}$ will be defined as follows:

$$U^{1'}_{1} = j\Lambda^{1'}_{1}, \quad U^{1'}_{2} = -j\Lambda^{1'}_{2}, \quad U^{2'}_{1} = -j\Lambda^{2'}_{1}, \quad U^{2'}_{2} = j\Lambda^{2'}_{2}, \tag{70}$$

the determinant of U being equal to j^2. Obviously, the same reasoning leads to the conjugate cubic representation of $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ if we require the covariance of the conjugate tensor

$$\bar{\rho}^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{D}\dot{E}\dot{F}} = j\,\bar{\rho}^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{E}\dot{F}\dot{D}} = j^2\,\bar{\rho}^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{F}\dot{D}\dot{E}},$$

by imposing the equation similar to (55)

$$\Lambda^{\dot{\alpha}'}_{\dot{\beta}}\,\bar{\rho}^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}\dot{C}} = \bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}'}_{\dot{A}'\dot{B}'\dot{C}'}\bar{U}^{\dot{A}'}_{\dot{A}}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{B}'}_{\dot{B}}\,\bar{U}^{\dot{C}'}_{\dot{C}}\,. \tag{71}$$

The matrix $\bar{U}$ is the complex conjugate of the matrix U, with determinant equal to j.

In conclusion, we have found the way to derive the covering group of the Lorentz group acting on spinors via the usual spinorial representation, and on vectors via the 4×4 real matrices.. Spinors are obtained as a homomorphic image of tri-linear combinations of three Z_3-graded generators θ^A (or their conjugates $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{B}}$). The Z_3-graded generators transform with matrices U (or $\bar{U}$ for the conjugates), but these matrices are not unitary: their determinants are equal to j^2 or j, respectively.

In our forthcoming paper we shall investigate similar Z_6-graded generalization extended to the differential forms $d\xi^{\alpha}$ and $d\theta^{B}$.

Acknowledgement We express our thanks to Michel Dubois-Violette for enlightening discussions and very useful remarks and suggestions. V. Abramov and O. Liivapuu gratefully appreciate the financial support of Estonian Science Foundation under the Research Grant No. ETF9328. They also express their gratitude to the Estonian Ministry of Education and Research for financial support by institutional research funding IUT20-57.

References

[1] Vainerman L, Kerner R 1996 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **37** (5) pp. 2553-2665

[2] Kerner R 1991 *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris.* **10** 1237

[3] Kerner R 1992 *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **33** (1) pp.403-4011

[4] Abramov V, Kerner R, Le Roy B 1997 *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **38** (3) pp. 1650-1669

[5] Kerner R 1997 *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **14** (1A) pp. A203-A225

[6] Dubois-Violette M 1998 $d^N = 0$: Generalized Homology *K-theory*, **14** (4), 371–404.

[7] Dubois-Violette M, Kerner R 1998 *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae* **14**

[8] Kerner R 2001 *Proceedings of the 23-rd ICGTMP colloquium, Dubna 2000* arXiv:math-ph/0011023

[9] Kerner R Suzuki O 2012 *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **09**, 1261007